

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/900,485	07/06/2001	Rod A. Cherkas	37202/102001; 990006	4159
Robert P. Lord	7590 09/07/2007		EXAM	INER
OSHA - LIANG LLP			CHENCINSKI, SIEGFRIED E	
Suite 2800 1221 Mckinne	v Street		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Houston, TX 77010			3692	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/07/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

-	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Interview Summary	09/900,485	CHERKAS ET AL.				
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Siegfried E. Chencinski	3692				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):					
(1) <u>Siegfried E. Chencinski</u> .	(3) <u>Atty Seema Mehta</u> .					
(2) Atty Robert P. Lord.	(4)					
Date of Interview: <u>04 September 2007</u> .						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]						
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e) No.					
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1</u> .						
Identification of prior art discussed: Wallman.						
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.						
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>	nature of what was agreed to	if an agreement was				
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)						
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.						
		·				
		4				

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicants' representatives expressed the goal of the interview to be the discovery of amended claims language which might be patentably novel. The attorneys described the invention and what Applicants consider to be patentably unique. We discussed element 3 of claim 1, and specification sections 10, 11, 23-25 and 27. The examiner was unable to suggest amendments language which might survive further search and evaluation. No agreement was reached.